Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 2088-2089

N^η-Nitro-L-arginine Methyl Ester Hydrochloride

NOBUO OKABE, KAZUYUKI IKEDA AND Yoshiko Kohyama

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kinki University, Kowakae 3-4-1, Higashiosaka, Osaka 577, Japan

(Received 21 December 1993; accepted 26 April 1994)

Abstract

The α -amino group of the title compound, $C_7H_{16}N_5O\ddagger,Cl^-$, is positively charged; the nitroguanidinium moiety remains neutral. All atoms in the nitroguanidinium group are nearly coplanar. The side chain of the molecule consists of two planar groups which intersect almost perpendicularly at C⁶.

Comment

Endothelium-derived relaxing factor, recently identified as nitric oxide (NO), is the endogeneous stimulator of the soluble guanylate cyclase and is synthesized from Larginine by nitric oxide synthase (NOS). N^{η} -Nitro-Larginine methyl ester and other L-arginine analogues such as N^{η} -amino-L-arginine, N^{η} -methyl-L-arginine or N^{η} -nitro-L-arginine, act as inhibitors of NOS and induce an increase in blood pressure in animal models of sepsis or in patients in septic shock (Mülsch & Busse, 1990; Moncada, Palmer & Higgs, 1991; Rand, 1992; Moncada, 1992; Cobb et al., 1992). Therefore, it is important to decide the precise molecular structure of these inhibitors in order to investigate more closely the relationship between the function and structure of these inhibitors. In this study, the crystal structure of N^{η} -nitro-L-arginine methyl ester was determined as the hydrochloride form, (I).



The molecular structure is illustrated in Fig. 1. All atoms forming the nitroguanidinium group are nearly coplanar. The hydrocarbon side chain is not fully extended, but folded at C^{δ} [C(5)]. The N(1)—C(2)—C(3)—C(4)—C(5) chain is *trans*-zigzag planar, as is C(5)—N(2)—C(6)—N(4)—N(5)—O(4); they intersect perpendicularly at C(5). The α -amino group is protonated and three amino atoms are involved in the hydrogen-bonding network. The three C—N distances

in the nitroguanidinium group are nearly equal, as observed in L-arginine dihydrate (Lehmann, Verbist, Hamilton & Koetzle, 1973).



Fig. 1. Perspective view of the title compound with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Experimental

Crystal data C₇H₁₆N₅O⁴, Cl⁻ $M_r = 269.69$ Monoclinic $P2_1$ a = 5.440 (1) Å b = 10.108 (1) Å c = 11.484 (2) Å $\beta = 103.03$ (2)° V = 615.2 (3) Å³ Z = 2 $D_x = 1.456$ Mg m⁻³ $D_m = 1.448$ (3) Mg m⁻³

Data collection

Riguku AFC-5*R* diffractometer ω -2 θ scans Absorption correction: empirical (*DIFABS*; Walker & Stuart, 1983) $T_{min} = 0.78$, $T_{max} = 1.23$ 1634 measured reflections 1485 independent reflections 903 observed reflections $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$

Refinement

Refinement on FR = 0.048wR = 0.052 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 15.15-16.45^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.320$ mm⁻¹ T = 296 K Plate 0.20 × 0.20 × 0.10 mm Colourless Crystal source: evaporation from 0.05N HCl/50% ethanol

$$R_{int} = 0.028$$

$$\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}$$

$$h = 0 \rightarrow 7$$

$$k = 0 \rightarrow 12$$

$$l = -14 \rightarrow 13$$

3 standard reflections
monitored every 150
reflections
intensity variation:
-0.13\%

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.003\\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.26 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}\\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.36 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$

S = 1.58903 reflections 153 parameters H-atom parameters not refined $w = 4F_o^2/\sigma^2(F_o^2)$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$$B_{\rm eq} = (8\pi^2/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

	x	у	Z	B_{eq}
Cl(1)	-0.0126 (3)	0.0127	0.1317 (2)	3.54 (7)
O(1)	0.0097 (8)	0.0212 (6)	0.8255 (4)	3.3 (2)
O(2)	0.367 (1)	-0.0701 (5)	0.9251 (5)	3.0(2)
O(3)	0.041 (1)	0.7121 (6)	0.5370 (5)	4.6 (3)
O(4)	0.319 (1)	0.8451 (6)	0.6356 (6)	4.8 (3)
N(1)	0.462 (1)	0.1588 (6)	1.0588 (5)	2.4 (2)
N(2)	0.538 (1)	0.4254 (7)	0.6626 (6)	3.0 (3)
N(3)	0.150(1)	0.4673 (6)	0.5386 (6)	3.7 (3)
N(4)	0.417 (1)	0.6366 (6)	0.6493 (5)	2.7 (2)
N(5)	0.252 (1)	0.7310 (7)	0.6052 (5)	3.1 (3)
C(1)	0.235 (1)	0.0236 (9)	0.8959 (5)	2.4 (3)
C(2)	0.320(1)	0.1631 (7)	0.9301 (6)	2.1 (2)
C(3)	0.492 (1)	0.2164 (7)	0.8527 (6)	2.7 (3)
C(4)	0.369 (1)	0.2132 (8)	0.7206 (6)	2.9 (3)
C(5)	0.525 (1)	0.2816 (9)	0.6427 (7)	3.3 (3)
C(6)	0.356 (1)	0.511 (1)	0.6138 (5)	2.7 (3)
C(7)	0.110 (2)	- 0.121 (2)	0.793 (1)	9.2 (8)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

O(1)—C(1)	1.310(7)	N(3)—	-C(6)	1.327 (8)
O(1)-C(7)	1.59 (2)	N(4)	-N(5)	1.328 (8)
O(2)—C(1)	1.190 (9)	N(4)—	-C(6)	1.35(1)
O(3)N(5)	1.251 (7)	C(1)-	-C(2)	1.51(1)
O(4)N(5)	1.236 (8)	C(2)—	-C(3)	1.52(1)
N(1)—C(2)	1.505 (8)	C(3)—	-C(4)	1.513 (9)
N(2)—C(5)	1.47 (1)	C(4)—	-C(5)	1.53(1)
N(2)—C(6)	1.335 (9)			
C(1) - O(1) - C(7)	116.1 (7)	N(1)-	-C(2)C(1)	106.7 (5)
C(5) - N(2) - C(6)	124.6 (7)	N(1)-	-C(2)-C(3)	109.8 (5)
N(5)N(4)C(6)	117.6 (5)	C(1)-	-C(2)-C(3)	111.7 (5)
O(3)N(5)O(4)	119.3 (7)	C(2)—	-C(3)C(4)	112.8 (5)
O(3)N(5)N(4)	125.0 (6)	C(3)—	-C(4)C(5)	113.6 (6)
O(4)N(5)N(4)	115.6 (6)	N(2)—	-C(5)-C(4)	111.7 (7)
O(1)—C(1)—O(2)	125.6 (8)	N(2)—	-C(6)N(3)	119.8 (8)
O(1)—C(1)—C(2)	111.5 (7)	N(2)—	-C(6)N(4)	111.9 (6)
O(2) - C(1) - C(2)	122.8 (5)	N(3)—	-C(6)N(4)	128.3 (7)
$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$		H···A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	
$N(1) - H(1A) \cdot \cdot \cdot O($	2 ⁱ)	1.94	2.886(7)	
N(1)H(1B)····Cl	(1 ⁱⁱ)	2.40	3.244 (6)	
$N(1) - H(1C) \cdot \cdot \cdot CI$	(1 ⁱⁱⁱ)	2.25	3.158 (6)	
$N(2) - H(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl(1)$	^{1V})	2.25	3.205 (6)	
$N(3) - H(3A) \cdot \cdot \cdot O($	3 ^v)	1.93	2.843 (8)	
Symmetry codes: (i) 1	_ r 1	2 - 7 (ii)	r v 1 + 7 (iii) 1	+r v 1+

Symmetry codes: (i) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 2 - z$; (ii) x, y, 1 + z; (iii) 1 + x, y, 1 + z; (iv) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 1 - z$; (v) $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, 1 - z$.

The positions of H atoms on N(2) and C(7) could not be located from difference Fourier synthesis and so were calculated geometrically. Other H atoms were subsequently located from difference Fourier synthesis and not refined.

Programs used for data collection and cell refinement: MSC/AFC Data Collection and Refinement Software (Rigaku Corporation, 1988). Programs used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985); DIRDIF (Beurskens, 1984). All calculations including data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985).

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, complete geometry and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AS1106). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Beurskens, P. T. (1984). DIRDIF. Direct Methods for Difference Structures – an Automatic Procedure for Phase Extension and Refinement of Difference Structure Factors. Technical Report 1984/1, Crystallography Laboratory, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Cobb, J. P., Natanson, C., Hoffman, W. D., Lodato, R. F., Banks, S., Koev, C. A., Solomon, M. A., Elin, R. J., Hosseini, J. M. & Danner, R. L. (1992). J. Exp. Med. 176, 1175–1182.
- Lehmann, M. S., Verbist, J. J., Hamilton, W. C. & Koetzle, T. F. (1973). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 133-137.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Moncada, S. (1992). Acta Physiol. Scand. 145, 201-227.
- Moncada, S., Palmer, R. M. J. & Higgs, E. A. (1991). *Pharmcol. Rev.* 43, 109–142.
- Mülsch, A. & Busse, R. (1990). Arch. Pharmacol. 341, 143-147.
- Rand, M. J. (1992). Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol. 19, 147-169.
- Rigaku Corporation (1988). MSC/AFC Data Collection and Refinement Software. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 159-166.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 2089-2093

Structural Studies of Intermediates in the Synthesis of Mifepristone (RU 486). I. An 11β -Substituted Steroid

MADI BIDYASAGAR AND KRISHNAN RAVIKUMAR

Laboratory of Crystallography, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

RAO A. V. RAMA, MADDURU M. REDDY AND ASHOK K. SINGH

Bio-Organic Laboratory, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

(Received 20 October 1993; accepted 17 March 1994)

Abstract

The title compound, 11β -[4-(dimethylamino)phenyl]-3,3-(ethylenedioxy)-17 α -(1-propynyl)estra-9-en- 5α ,17 β -diol (C₃₁H₄₁NO₄), is the key intermediate in the synthesis of RU 486 and its overall conformation is similar to that of RU 486. The orientation of the (dimethylamino)phenyl ring, which seems to be con-